Minutes, Kirkland Alliance of Neighborhoods January 8, 2020 Kirkland City Hall, Peter Kirk Room

Note: Action items are highlighted in yellow.

Neighborhoods attending:

Central Houghton	
Everest	Jim Meniketti
Evergreen Hill	
Finn Hill	Bill Blanchard (KAN Co-Chair)
Highlands	Debbie Ohman
Juanita Neighborhoods	Brian Vaughn
Lakeview	Mark Still, Judy Beto
Market	Ken Mackenzie, Laura Harding
Moss Bay	Bea Nahon
Norkirk	Heather Hendrix McAdams (KAN Co-Chair),
	Janet Pruitt
North Rose Hill	Margaret Carnegie
South Rose Hill/Bridle Trails	Chris Kagen

City Staff/Elected Officials attending:

- David Wolbrecht, Neighborhood Services Outreach Coordinator
- Chief Cherie Harris, Kirkland Police Department
- Kim Scrivner, Transportation Planner, Public Works
- Neal Black, Kirkland City Council
- Allison Zike, Senior Planner, Planning and Building Department

Guests:

- Scott Morris, Finn Hill
- Birgitta Hughes, South Rose Hill
- Stephanie Lecovin, Highlands

7:00pm Introduction

- Co-Chair Bill Blanchard called the meeting to order
- November 2019 minutes accepted; send any corrections to Chris

7:03pm Public comments

- Stephanie Lecovin, Birgitta Hughes
 - Small cell antennae, 5G -- concerns
 - Aligned with the philosophy of Physicians for Safe Technology
 - FCC is giving telecom companies access to "small-cell" technology
 - Need to be installed a few hundred feet apart, so densely clustered
 - 800K antennae planned by 2021
 - No long-term studies of the effects of the radiation on humans, but some research suggests risks

- Privacy
- Reduced property values
- Proximity to schools
- Bird migratory patterns
- Bee navigation
- Health implications, as shown in animal studies
- Would like to reach out to neighborhoods
 - Come to NA meetings
 - Send notices to residents
 - Many cities are pushing back against FCC allowance of the proliferation of antennae

7:13pm Follow-up on KTUB incident

- Chief Cherie Harris, KPD, presenting
- YMCA brought a formal complaint, one week after an incident last summer
 - One youth was filmed on a "NEST" camera, stealing at a store
 - Tracked to KTUB, arrested there, with use of force
 - YMCA staff felt disrespected
- Conclusion by KPD: the use of force was within acceptable parameters
 - Language was not acceptable
 - Damaged relationship with the YMCA and KTUB is not acceptable
- Friends of Youth have helped
 - Sharing practices with how they partner with city of Redmond
 - Presence in Kirkland as well
 - Leveraging relationship with Boys and Girls' Club, too
- Welcoming Kirkland
 - o James Whitfield from Leadership Eastside organizing on behalf of the city
 - Race and police relations are certainly factors
 - Chief Harris and Jim Lopez working with Whitfield
 - Jan 21st, first Town Hall to have a conversation around race
- Questions?
 - Surprised that there's not a better relationship with KTUB, given our investment in School Resource Officers and other efforts (Heather)
 - We had some turnover in the PD
 - Definitely working to rebuild relationships with youth organizations
 - What can KAN do? (Heather)
 - Publicize the outreach efforts, including the 1/21 Town Hall
 - Help to engage people of color in the conversation
 - Is the city reaching out to organizations that represent people of color in Kirkland? (Heather)
 - Yes. Using personal contacts to connect with the <u>IMAN Center</u> (Ithna-asheri Muslim Association of the Northwest), for example, and churches
 - You said that language use was not acceptable. What will be done about that? (Leo)

- We're making that very clear.
- We typically practice "contact cover," including having two officers present at all incidents
- We see issues in the neighborhoods relating to racism.
 - We sometimes get calls that are clearly race-based and have no other foundation (simply reporting a person of color in the area), and we don't respond to those calls other than to have a conversation with the reporting person
 - We recognize the reality of implicit bias, and there are many good books and materials to learn more
- I think you folks did just fine. (Ken, Mark)

7:38pm Safe and active transport

- Kim Scrivner, Transportation Planner
- Active Transportation Plan Update
 - Last updated in 2009
 - Master Transportation Plan of 2015 included important elements
- Coordinated with other initiatives
 - Vision Zero action plan
 - Safer Routes to School
 - Sustainability Master Plan
 - Neighborhood Plans
 - Neighborhood Safety Projects
- Scope of work
 - Goal: Complete network accessible to people of all ages and abilities
 - o Outreach
 - Technical analysis and recommendations
 - Bike network
 - Pedestrian access to transit and schools
 - Benefit analysis for recommendation
 - Design guidance
 - Policy support
 - Potential updates to the Greenways guide
 - We have many narrow streets
 - Greenways are shared, quiet streets
 - Also need to focus on safety at intersections, where many accidents happen
 - Implementation Plan
 - Painting, maintenance, etc.
 - As well as building out new infrastructure
- ATP Survey
 - Keeping it open until the end of January
 - Please promote the <u>survey</u> to your residents! We want to hear from everyone
- Considerations
 - o Equity

- o Safety
- o Access
- Metrics: planning to do counting when the new Greenway opens
- Wayfinding
- Questions?
 - Any patterns around accidents at intersections? (Bea)
 - We're looking closely at crash <u>types</u>
 - Where are we seeing most incidents, and of what type?
 - Glad to hear that full, networked connectivity is an important part of your planning (Leo)
 - Are you planning around Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and other "first/last mile" issues? (Debbie)
 - Yes. High-capacity transit, for example at the new I-405/85th BRT station, is a big question for us, in partnership with WA DOT and others
 - Another example: the public parking at the Kirkland Library has a corner for bike parking; good place for a locked bike cage
 - Connecting to light rail: the nearest station will be Spring District, and Bellevue is planning bike parking there
 - Story of bike riders who endanger others (Ken)
 - Vision Zero plan includes lots of outreach around behavior
 - Acknowledgement by bike riders (Leo and Chris) that the biker in Ken's story was in the wrong; WA law allows riding on the sidewalk but must yield to pedestrians

7:50pm Tree code update

- Deb Powers, Kirkland Urban Forester, and Scott Morris, who wrote a doctoral thesis on the topic
- Process
 - Have been through many cycles with City Council and Houghton Community Council
 - Spent 6 months working with stakeholder groups
 - 6 months of working with Planning Commission and HCC
 - Jan 21 City Council study session upcoming
 - Tentative late Feb adoption by City Council
- Goal: 40% canopy cover
 - More consistency in how the code is applied
 - Clarify/Streamline the code
- Recent analysis by consultant
 - In the single-family zoned areas of Kirkland
 - Loss of 253 acres, 2010-2018
 - Other land-use areas showed less loss
 - Handout of "Effects of Code Concepts"
 - How is current tree code functioning?
 - How do we achieve a "no net loss" state?

- Tabulating increase/decrease/no effects of each proposed code revision
- Draft Kirkland Zoning Code (KZC) Chapter 95
 - Public trees
 - No major code changes
 - Homeowners can prune branches up to 1.5" diameter
 - Private property trees
 - Landmark tree removal limited to 1 per 24 months
 - 2, 3, or 4 removals per year, regardless of property size (old rule was 2 regardless of property size)
 - Hedge removal/replacement is easier
 - 1-year wait before submitting development permits
 - Responding to developers removing or damaging trees in advance of submitting development permit applications
 - Order severely diseased tree removal (rare)
 - \circ Tree retention with development
 - Eliminated retention values
 - Eliminated "if feasible / if possible" language
 - Now condition ratings
 - Tier 2 trees, getting much more specific about what's necessary to preserve significant trees
 - Building envelope dimensions
 - Site plan alterations
 - Tree protection methods
 - Variations to development standard where are leniencies and trade-offs available
 - Eliminated phased tree removal
 - All plans are required at the beginning of development
 - No credits for planting arborvitae; not counted as a tree
- Scott presenting the neighborhood perspective
 - From Finn Hill, "land of tree-hugging protectionists"
 - Finn Hill has its own overlay requirements, inherited from the county when incorporating into Kirkland
 - $\circ~$ There's overlap between what Finn Hill likes, developers like, and what the city staff want to do
 - Trying to achieve a tree code that optimizes the city's ability to regain a 40% canopy cover
 - How does the tree code apply to developers?
 - Talked to Master Builders
 - Trees will get cut down
 - Let's set standards for protecting <u>significant</u> trees
 - This led to the definition of Tier 1 (30" diameter) and Tier 2 trees
 - Finn Hill wanted 26" diameter for Tier 1
 - The new standards, in Scott's opinion, will save some significant trees, though not that many more than before

- Finn Hill and staff wanted language around preserving trees in the setback areas of lots
 - Quickly gets very complicated, with tree credits and diameters vs. canopy sizes, lots of issues
 - Deb: the "Tier 2" provisions actually map pretty much to existing tree codes
- Planting new/replacement trees
 - Finn Hill disagrees with developers on the threshold for requiring planting
- Homeowner requirements
 - Under current and proposed code, homeowners can remove one Tier 1 tree per year without question
 - Is this appropriate? Scott doesn't think so
- Questions
 - Is this truly making things clearer for developers?
 - Yes, it's doing some. Only the builders can say for sure.
 - How will the city enforce codes? Developers in my neighborhood have egregiously removed and girdled trees without permits
 - Current penalties are at a nuisance level
 - Proposed penalties are much more deterrent
 - Addressing zoning other than single-family?
 - The focus is SF for now
 - Trying to address the 40% canopy cover, and SF is the big impact

8:25pm Rooftop amenity code amendments

- Allison Zike, Senior Planner
- Also known as "rooftop appurtenances"
- Existing code allows appurtenances to extend up to 4' of height above max building height
- Examples: HVAC, etc.
- Public outreach
 - Focus on multi-family and commercial buildings, not single-family
 - Positives
 - Better design
 - Community gathering space
 - Green space
 - Increased renter tenancy
 - Negatives
 - Loss of views
 - Clutter
 - Noise, lighting
 - Disruptive behavior
- Barriers
 - Railings must comply with maximum building height
 - Allowed height for elevator equipment (16.3' for overall height, including equipment)

- No allowance for amenities to extend above the maximum building height
- Directions, as set by Planning Commission and Houghton Community Council
 - Amend code to encourage more rooftop amenities
 - Increase flexibility to provide rooftop access, e.g. elevator eight overruns
 - Explore allowing enclose or covered space on the rooftop, above max. height
- Special zones
 - CBD 1A-1B zones, downtown near waterfront
 - Limited to just the building height
 - Planning Commission will need to decide how to treat these zones
- Draft code amendments, highlights
 - Add definition for "rooftop amenities" (planters, railings, seating, kitchen/BBQs, dog runs, fire pits, umbrellas, etc."
 - Add definition for "rooftop common room"
 - Revise height allowance and review process for elevator and stair equipment up to 15' above building height
 - Add new section allowing rooftop amenities to exceed the max structure height by 4'
 - Railings included, must be transparent or "majority open", and set back 5' from building edge
 - Add new section allowing rooftop common rooms, height matching the story below, limited to 1,000 sq ft or 10% of building footprint, whichever is *less*
 - Would include enclosed spaces or simply <u>covered</u> spaces
- Next steps
 - 1/9 Planning Commission study session
 - 2/13 Tentative joint Planning Commission public hearing with Houghton Community Council
 - Possible March at City Council
- Questions
 - Would these amenities be allowed in the Market Street corridor?
 - Currently scoped for "stacked buildings" rather than simply multifamily
 - So, under the proposal, the code would not apply to townhomes that are not stacked
 - For covered spaces, and requirements around what people put in those spaces?
 - The only requirement is that they be common spaces, not dedicated to the use of one resident unit
 - No requirement that the space be open or transparent
 - Spaces would be subject to design review, hence subject to public scrutiny and appeal
 - Solar panels
 - Solar panels already have their own code exception
- Comments can go to <u>Allison</u>

8:46pm Neighborhood Services Report

- David Wolbrecht, Neighborhood Outreach Coordinator
- Community Appreciation Event
 - Apr 16, 2020
 - Eventbrite invitation coming soon
 - Please invite any and all of your neighborhood volunteers! No limit this year
- Mayor's State of the City event
 - Typically in May/June
 - Let David know if you have innovative ideas for location or format
 - Janet: Perhaps combine it with a KAN meeting, for ease of attendance?
 - Heather: Hold it somewhere else, somewhere out in the community? Heritage Hall?
- Neighborhood University
 - Program has been on hold for a while
 - Past topics gravitated toward civics, zoning, mechanics of governments
 - "For the Love of Kirkland" was a Neighborhood U event
 - New events coming in 2020
 - Leadership development, skill building (positive response from KAN)
- Summer Sundays
 - Pilot program last summer, closing Park Lane to cars on Sundays
 - <u>Survey</u> is open; please encourage responses even from people who didn't attend last summer

8:55pm Hot Topics, Liaison Reports, Neighborhood Roundtable

- Liaison Reports
 - Transportation (Lisa)
- City Council Committee Reports
- Neighborhood Reports
 - Central Houghton
 - o Everest
 - Good collection at benefit for Treehouse charity this year
 - Evergreen Hill
 - Park is defined as a "community park" rather than a "neighborhood park," so wording/criteria that the city is applying differ from what we put in our Neighborhood Plan. Watch the wording in your Neighborhood Plan carefully!
 - Finn Hill
 - New board members, and more applying for positions
 - Note that Finn Hill does <u>quarterly</u> public meetings (with monthly board meetings); perhaps that's a better model for NAs?
 - We should have an update of the <u>KAN Handbook</u> for best practices
 - o Highlands
 - o Juanita

- Will be having the public utility district (PUD) folks speaking at next meeting
- Taking down holiday lights soon
- o Lakeview
- o Market
 - Same issue as SRH/BT
- Moss Bay
 - Changes in transit service, including the K Line
 - Time to file your NA's "990N" tax form!
- 0 Norkirk
 - January 23, City Council meeting on "Missing Middle" initiatives: attend if you want to weigh in
 - Neighborhood Plan update process is coming fast! Coordinate with other neighborhoods that are undergoing updates
- North Rose Hill
- South Rose Hill / Bridle Trails
 - Issue that our Board has been addressing this past year: criteria for who does/doesn't present at our meetings
- Neal Black, City Council
 - Wants to come around to NA mtgs, though some conflict
 - Will concentrate on neighborhoods that are in Plan update cycle
 - Boards and commissions
 - Want to promote how we use that entrée to bring in people to civic leadership who are "non-traditional participants" (shares this focus with Amy and Kelly on City Council)

9:08pm Closing

- Adjourned at 9:00pm
- Next meeting: January 8, 2019

Upcoming agenda items, events, deadlines

- Agenda items
 - Criteria for speakers at NA meetings
 - KAN Handbook update for best practices (frequency of mtgs, etc.)
 - Neighborhood Plan updates
 - Transportation City, Metro upcoming changes in bus routes, BRT, RapidRide, etc.
 - Disaster preparedness coordination
 - Community Conversations
- Events